

## 10-25 UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/358,474	12/19/94	KYLE	D	0311.48526
			JURDAN,	EXAMINER
	•	12M2/1026		
	CH MCKIE &	BECKETT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ELEVENTH FI 1001 G STR				Ø 71
WASHINGTON		597	1205	/
			DATE MAILED:	
This is a communication COMMISSIONER OF PA	from the examiner in TENTS AND TRADE	charge of your application. MARKS		10/26/95
This application has	been examined	Responsive to communication filed on		This action is made fina
Shortened etatutory por	•			
allure to respond within	the period for respons	is action is set to expire month(s). se will cause the application to become abando	days f	rom the date of this letter.
		ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		
1. Notice of Refe	rences Cited by Exam		ice of Draftsman's P	atent Drawing Review, PTO-948
	ited by Applicant, PT	O-1449. 4, 🛄 Not	ice of Informal Pater	t Application, PTO-152.
o. — information on	HOW TO Effect Drawin	ng Changes, PTO-1474. 6		
rt II SUMMARY OF	ACTION .			
. Claims				
Of the abov				
_				
_				
		a		
This application ha	ss been filed with info	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are	acceptable for exam	ination purposes.
Formal drawings a	re required in respon	se to this Office action.		
The corrected or s are acceptable	ubstitute drawings ha ; 🗖 not acceptable (s	ive been received on see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Paten	Under 37 C	.F.R. 1.84 these drawings TO-948).
. The proposed addressminer; diss	litional or substitute s approved by the exam	heet(s) of drawings, filed on liner (see explanation).	. has (have) been	approved by the
.   The proposed drav	ving correction, filed	has been approv	ed; disapproved	(see explanation).
. Acknowledgement	is made of the claim	for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified I no; filed on	conv has Theory	
. Since this applicati	on apppears to be in	condition for allowance except for formal matte arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		the merits is closed in
. Other	·			

EXAMINER'S ACTION

L.T. 10-25-95



## UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

٤	SERIAL	NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.			
Г				٦	EXAMINER				
					LAMMINER				
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
						<b>4</b> 7			
	s is a c		n from the examiner ATENTS AND TRAD	in charge of your application. DEMARKS	DATE MAILED:	(			
■ This application has been examined ■ Responsive to communication filed on <u>June 28, 1995</u> □ This action is made final.									
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire <u>3 months</u> from the date of this letter.  Failure to respond within the time period will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133									
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENTS ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:  1. □ Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  2. □ Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948.  3. ■ Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449  4. □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152.  6. □ Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.									
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION									
1.	1. ■ Claims 1-28, 30-33, 36-42 and 67-82 are pending in the application.								
	Of the above claims, are withdrawn from consideration.								
2.	2. ■ Claims <u>29, 34-35 and 43-66</u> have been cancelled.								
3.	3. □ Claims are allowed.								
4.	4. ■ Claims <u>1-28, 30-33, 36-42 and 67-82</u> are rejected.								
6.	5. 🗆 Claims are objected to.								
6.	c. □ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.								
7.	. □ This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.								
8.	□ Fo	rmal drawings	s are required in res	ponse to this Office action.					
9.	are □ acceptable. □ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).								
10.			dditional or substitut examiner (see exp	e sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (lanation).	have)been 🗆 approve	ed by the examiner.			
11.	□ Th	e proposed d	rawing correction, fil	ed on has been   □ approved.  □ di	sapproved (see explana	ation).			
12.	□ Ac	knowledgmen	nt is made of the cla	im for priority under 35 USC 119. The certi	ified copy has □ been	received   not been received			
	□ be	en filed in par	rent application, seri	ial no; filed on					
13.				in condition for allowance except for forme parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 21:	• •	as to the merits is closed in			
14.	□ Otl	her							

**EXAMINER'S ACTION** 

Serial Number: 08/358,474

Art Unit: 1205

Claims 1-28, 30-33, 36-42, and 67-82 are presented for examination.

Claims 67-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as the disclosure is enabling only for claims limited to compositions where there is no limitation on the presence of EPA. The phrases "substantially free" or "essentially free" of EPA in claims 67 and 70 are not enabled or disclosed by the specification. See M.P.E.P. §§ 706.03(n) and 706.03(z).

Claims 7-11 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, claims 7 and 13 are indefinite due to a lack of antecedent basis for the term "said genera". The remaining claims are indefinite to the extent that they depend on the rejected base claims.

Claims 30-33 are rejected as being of improper dependent form as they depend on a cancelled claim (claim 29).

Claims 1-17, 21-24, 30-33, 36-39, 67-74, and 77-82 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 and 20-22 of U.S. Patent No. 5,374,657. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the application are equivalent

Serial Number: 08/358,474 -3-

Art Unit: 1205

to or encompassed by the claims of the patent. Furthermore, claims of the application specifying the oil source are also obvious because the source does not change the chemical structure of the triglyceride or fatty acid.

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obvious-type or non-obvious-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van Ornam, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 (b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78 (d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a Terminal Disclaimer. A Terminal Disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same

Serial Number: 08/358,474 -4-

Art Unit: 1205

person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-28, 30-33, 36-42, and 67-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Application #196,255 and PCT Application #W089/00606 in view of Clandinin et al. and Traitler et al.

The claims appear to be drawn to processes, compositions, and nutritional supplements for enriching infant formulas or milk by adding polyunsaturated fatty acids from microbial, plant, and fish oil sources. JPA #196,255 teaches the process of adding microbial oils produced by Mortierella bacteria to supplement milk for infants (see pages 4-5 and 7-8). The microbial oils contain polyunsaturated fatty acids such as gamma-linoleic acid (GLA), arachidonic acid (ARA), and eicosapantaenoic acid (EPA) (see pages 4-5 and 7-8). PCT Application #WO89/00606 teaches the supplementation of infant and adult nutritional formulas with microbial oils containing the polyunsaturated fatty acids docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and EPA (see pages 3-5). microbial oils are obtained from the fungi, Pythium and from the microalgae, Nitzschia and Crypthecodium (see page 5). claimed subject matter differs from the primary references in claiming the addition of GLA obtained from plant and fish oils. To supplement infant formulas with GLA obtained from plant and fish oils would have been obvious in view of Clandinin et al. and Traitler et al. Clandinin et al. teaches the addition of fatty

Serial Number: 08/358,474 -5-

Art Unit: 1205

acids from fish oil to infant formula (see column 2, line 61-column 3, line 8, and column 6, line 8-column 7, line 17).

Traitler et al. teach the addition of GLA to infant formula wherein the GLA is obtained from black current oil (see column 3, lines 21-61). The choice of various ratios for the ingredients is deemed to be nothing more than the optimization of the composition which is within the skill of the artisan. The claimed subject matter fails to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references. The remaining references listed on the enclosed PTO-1449 are cited to show the state of the art.

No claims are allowed.

A facsimile center has been established in Group 1200, room 3C10. The hours of operation are monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier numbers for accessing the facsimile machine is (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly Jordan whose telephone number is (703) 308-4611.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

KIMBERLÝ JORDAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

JORDAN:jd OCTOBER 11, 1995